Abstract:
Predictive algorithms are increasingly used by police departments in the United States to anticipate and deter criminal activity by identifying geographic regions that are at high risk for crime. The use of so-called place-based predictive algorithms in policing has faced negative public attention but very little academic scrutiny. This paper offers a qualified defense of the moral permissibility of using predictive algorithms in policing. I argue that the use of so-called place-based predictive policing algorithms is compatible in principle with the demands of justice. However, when predictive policing algorithms go beyond prediction, to establish reasonable suspicion for search and seizure, they violate the principle of equality before the law by exposing innocent members of geographically disadvantaged groups to greater risk of mistaken conviction.
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